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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Cranioplasty and duraplasty surgery are standard procedures in brain injury and tumor management. The limited amount of substitution and high cost may lead to a severe problem for those procedures. Hopefully, greater omental contain pluripotent cells which compatible for transplantation procedures. Therefore, the tissue is a potential graft for cranioplasty and duraplasty procedure.

Methods: A post control group design experimental study was conducted for two months. Twelve rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus) with two hemisphere regions each were divided randomly into four groups. Six were treated with omental autograft onto cranial bone left side (T1) and cranial bone with dural tissue (T2) lesion on the other side. Six other rabbits did not receive any further treatment with cranial bone (C1) lesion on the left side and cranial bone with dural tissue on the right side (C2). After two months, the outcome was observed based on the gross and histopathological examinations. The data were analysed with a one-way ANOVA and Post Hoc test.

Results: All rabbits showed no complication based on body temperature and body weight measurement. In the histopathologic examination, we obtained averagely 22.06±17.91 mm2, and 9.80±4.61 mm2 for the treated group left hemisphere (T1) and right hemisphere (T2). For the controlled group, we obtained 3.47±2.67 mm2 and 1.91±2.15 mm2 for left (C1) and right (C2) hemisphere consecutively. Both T1 and T2 groups’ average tissue repair area was higher than C1 and C2 groups, respectively.

Conclusion: We founded that greater omental graft was a better substitution to enhance tissue repair in cranioplasty and duraplasty procedures.
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INTRODUCTION

Cranio- and dura-plasty are common procedures for traumatic brain injury and tumor resection cases. Nowadays, autograft materials, tissue graft potential on the human body, such as pericranium, fascia lata, and temporal fascia, are used for these procedures. Also, synthetic materials indicated for the substitution of the autograft. However, a limited amount of autograft material on the human body and the high cost of synthetic material will conclude to several problems. Moreover, synthetic material can increase the potential risk of organ rejection on these procedures.

Greater omental tissue is one of the potential substitutions for cranioplasty and duraplasty procedure. Greater omental tissue consists of pluripotent stem cells, also known as Adipose-Derived Stem Cells (ASC/ADSC). These pluripotent stem cells can differentiate into multilineage embryonic type of cells such as endoderm, mesoderm, and ectoderm cells. The area of greater omental, 300 – 1500 cm2, can support the availability of graft material in cranioplasty and duraplasty procedure. In addition, greater omental tissue contains HOME (Human Omental Microvascular Endothelial) cells, producing fibroblast growth factor for neovascularization and repairment process. Also, Greater omental tissue abilities to adhere to and localize inflamed areas are beneficence for transplantation conditions.

Greater omental graft can be harvested by the laparoscopic procedure. This minimally invasive procedure starts with a standard laparoscopic procedure via the Hasson technique. It requires a 5 mm incision on the left upper quadrant side of the abdominal rectus muscle sheath for the insertion of the trocar. Also, the other 10 mm incision is required for the insertion of the camera trocar on the periumbilical region. After the greater omental tissue is dissected from its adherence, the operator incises the periumbilical region and moves out the dissected omental tissue for transplantation.

METHOD

This study has already proven by the institutional ethical committee. In this post control group design...
 Cases Experimental Study, 12 rabbits were divided into two groups (controlled group and treated group) of 6 each. The inclusion criteria were weight of 1.5 – 2.5 kg, age 3 to 4 months, and without anatomical abnormalities.

All rabbits were acclimated for a week and underwent a craniectomy procedure approximately 1cm² at each hemisphere. The tissue taken from the left hemisphere was only bone tissue. Besides, the tissue taken from the right one was dura and bone tissue. The treated (T) group was applied with omental autograft with cranioplasty and duraplasty procedure, while the controlled (C) group did not receive any further cranioplasty and duraplasty procedure.

The operation procedure was held under general anesthesia (ketamine 30 mg/BW IM). In this experiment, an approximately 3-5 cm² omental autograft was harvested from a laparotomy procedure for each treated group, and the temperature (rectal) and body weight were observed weekly for two months. After termination, the lesion from each hemisphere was examined grossly and taken for histopathological analysis. The bone tissue was decalcified with a TBD-1 decalcifier. All tissue was processed for routine Hematoxylin Eosin staining.

RESULT

During two months of observation, all rabbits were in good condition. The body weight averagely slightly increased, and the body temperature was all in normal range (38 – 40.8 °C). (12) Also, body weight increased every week. In addition, there was no sign of hemorrhage, infection, excessive scar formation, irritation, and rejection. This result supported Turchan et al., and Liu et al., in regard to use autologous graft for transplantation, would not induce a systemic immune response, reducing the rejection and systemic disturbance risk. (1,13)

The omental autograft transplantation procedure may have a role in this study procedure. The T₁ group showed the highest mean area of tissue repair, followed by the T₂ group, C₁ group, and lastly C₂ group. The tissue repair mean area in the transplanted groups was higher in the controlled groups.

DISCUSSION

Based on two months of body weight and temperature observation, all rabbits were in good condition after the procedure. The body temperature of every group remained stable in the normal range (38,1 – 40.8 °C). (12) Also, bodyweight increased every week. In addition, there was no sign of hemorrhage, infection, excessive scar formation, irritation, and rejection. This result supported Turchan et al., and Liu et al., in regard to use autologous graft for transplantation, would not induce a systemic immune response, reducing the rejection and systemic disturbance risk. (1,13)

The omental autograft transplantation procedure may have a role in this study procedure. The T₁ group showed the highest mean area of tissue repair, followed by the T₂ group, C₁ group, and lastly C₂ group. The tissue repair mean area in the transplanted groups was higher in the controlled groups.

The bone regeneration process is divided into four stages: Initial inflammatory response, soft callus formation, hard callus formation, and bone remodeling. (14) In this study, considering that the C₁ group showed the bone remodeling process. In this stage, osteoblast and osteoclast are involved in the formation of new bone tissue. (14) In addition, these cells were activated, resulting from TGF-β and BMP-2 cytokines release by osteoprogenitor cells. (15,16) While in the C₂ group, where the dural tissue was taken, the dural healing was also taking a part of the repairing process in the lesion site. Lear and Harvey (17) suggest that the dural tissue healing consists of thickened process, fibroblast invasion, and organization of fibrous structure for 7 to 40 days. We suggest that the bone and the dural

Figure 1. Macroscopic view after surgery procedure of transplanted group (A) and controlled group (B)
tissue healing process was happened simultaneously, resulting in the smaller repair area in the C<sub>2</sub> group than the C<sub>1</sub> group.

Omental autograft, mainly consist of adipocyte cells, contain ASC that have a role in regeneration and tissue repair. Fibroblast, differentiated from ASC, migrated and proliferated to the injured area, release extracellular matrix for the remodeling process. Also, omental graft contains immunological cells such as macrophages, B-lymphocytes, T-lymphocytes, mast cells, and stromal cells that enhance inflammation process. In addition, omental graft promotes the angiogenesis process due to the promotion of collagen synthesize and cytokine release from adjacent cells. In regard to this study, the treated groups showed a higher repair area, then the controlled groups. We suggest that the bone and dural tissue healing process in the treated group happened simultaneously. In fact, the T<sub>1</sub> group tissue repair area was higher than T<sub>2</sub>.

CONCLUSION

In this study, the long duration of the study was expressed a nearly complete healing process, resulting in the identification between the lesion and healthy tissue area was hard to differentiate. Also, the cytokine examination was excluded from this study. Besides, we suggest that omental graft transplantation can be used as an alternative substance in cranioplasty and duraplasty procedure. We found that omental autograft transplantation is beneficial that there was no complication and rejection sign. The omental autograft also potentially increases the tissue repair and regeneration process by increasing the area of the new connective tissue.
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Table 1. Mean area of tissue repair

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Normality test</th>
<th>Mean area ± SD (mm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Post-test (mm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>22.06±17.91</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>9.80±4.61</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>3.47±2.67</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>1.91±2.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One-way ANOVA
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